

Extension and averaging operators for finite fields

Doowon Koh

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study $L^p - L^r$ estimates of both extension operators and averaging operators associated with the algebraic variety $S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : Q(x) = 0\}$ where $Q(x)$ is a nondegenerate quadratic form over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. In the case when $d \geq 3$ is odd and the surface S contains a $(d-1)/2$ -dimensional subspace, we obtain the exponent r where the $L^2 - L^r$ extension estimate is sharp. In particular, we give the complete solution to the extension problems related to specific surfaces S in three dimension. In even dimensions $d \geq 2$, we also investigate the sharp $L^2 - L^r$ extension estimate. Such results are of the generalized version and extension to higher dimensions for the conical extension problems which Mochenhaupt and Tao ([10]) studied in three dimensions. The boundedness of averaging operators over the surface S is also studied. In odd dimensions $d \geq 3$ we completely solve the problems for $L^p - L^r$ estimates of averaging operators related to the surface S . On the other hand, in the case when $d \geq 2$ is even and S contains a $d/2$ -dimensional subspace, using our optimal $L^2 - L^r$ results for extension theorems we, except for endpoints, have the sharp $L^p - L^r$ estimates of the averaging operator over the surface S in even dimensions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Statement of necessary conditions for $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ and $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$	5
3. Statement of main results	6
4. Estimate of the Fourier transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$	9
5. Proof of necessary conditions	11
6. Proof of results for extension problems (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3)	14
7. Proof of the results for averaging problems(Theorem 3.6)	15
References	19

1. Introduction

In recent year the topics of harmonic analysis in the Euclidean setting have been studied in the finite field settings. In this paper we study mapping properties of extension operators and averaging operators related to surfaces in d -dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. These topics are the analogues of the corresponding Euclidean problems. In the Euclidean setting the extension theorem is the problem of determining the optimal range of exponents (p, r) such that the following estimate holds:

$$\|(gd\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p, r, d)\|g\|_{L^p(S, d\sigma)} \text{ for all } g \in L^p(S, d\sigma)$$

where $d\sigma$ is a measure on the set S in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In 1967, this problem was addressed by E.M.Stein and it has been extensively studied. In the case when the set S is a hypersurface such as a sphere, paraboloid, or cone, the extension problems have received much attention. In higher dimensions

the problems are still open. For a comprehensive survey of these problems, see [1], [13], [3], and [14] and the references therein.

Another interesting problem in classical harmonic analysis is to study the boundedness of averaging operators associated with some surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^d$. This problem is origin from investigating the regularity of the fundamental solution of the wave equation for a fixed time. More precisely, one asks that what is the optimal range of exponents (p, r) such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p, r, d) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \text{ for all } f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $d\sigma$ is a measure on a surface S in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For example, if S is a hypersurface with everywhere nonvanishing Gaussian curvature, then the estimate (1.1) holds if and only if $(1/p, 1/r)$ lies in the triangle with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, and $(d/(d+1), 1/(d+1))$ (see [11], [12], and [8]). It is also known that the decay of the Fourier transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$ determines the boundedness of the averaging operator over the surface S . For instance, if $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a hypersurface with a surface measure $d\sigma$ and $|\widehat{d\sigma}(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-(d-1)/2}$, then the optimal result for the boundedness of the averaging operator can be obtained by interpolating the $L^2 - L^2$ and $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates along with the trivial $L^1 - L^1$ and $L^\infty - L^\infty$ estimates. However, in the case when the decay of the Fourier transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$ is somewhat worse, the result obtained by the interpolations as before is not sharp even if the decay is optimal. Thus, one may be interested in investigating the optimal $L^p - L^r$ estimate for the averaging operator related to the surface S on which the Fourier decay is somewhat worse. For example, A. Iosevich and E. Sawyer ([7]) obtained certain conditions which give the sharp $L^p - L^r$ estimates for averaging operators related to a graph of homogeneous function of degree ≥ 2 . As one of the key ideas for the optimal conditions, they considered a damped averaging operator and applied the analytic interpolation theorem(see [7]). However, it seems that such ideas do not work in finite field setting, in part because a complex power of the element in the finite field is ambiguous to define.

In the finite field setting, both extension theorems and the boundedness of averaging operators related to some algebraic varieties have recently been studied extensively. It is very interesting to see that such problems for finite fields sometimes exhibit unexpected phenomenas which never happen in the Euclidean case. For example, the Fourier decay of certain algebraic varieties in even dimensions can be distinguished with that in odd dimensions. This yields some different results of the boundedness of operators between in even dimensions and in odd dimensions. In this paper we shall study the extension theorem and averaging operators associated with such algebraic varieties in d -dimensional vector spaces over finite fields. Mochenhaupt and Tao ([10]) first constructed and studied extension problems in the finite field setting for various algebraic varieties. They mainly obtained quite good results for paraboloids and cones in lower dimensions. Iosevich and Koh ([4], [5]) developed aforementioned authors' work for both paraboloids and spheres in higher even dimensions. On the other hand, Carbery, Stones and Wright ([2]) recently introduced the averaging problems over algebraic varieties related to vector-valued polynomials. In the case when the decay of the Fourier transform of the surface measure is quite good they provided us of the best possible $L^p - L^r$ mapping property of the averaging operators. In addition they obtained partial results for averaging problems over surfaces with affine subspaces of large dimension but the results seem to be far from the best expected results.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce specific algebraic varieties of which the Fourier decays are distinguished between odd dimensions and even dimensions and to study the $L^p - L^r$ mapping properties of both extension operators and averaging operators related to such surfaces in the finite field setting. Doing these we shall achieve the following two goals. First, we extend the conical extension theorems in three dimensions done by Mockenhaupt and Tao ([10]) into higher

dimensions. Second, we find the almost sharp result of the boundedness of averaging operators associated with some sample algebraic varieties containing large dimensional affine subspaces. In order to state our results we begin by introducing some notation and definitions in the finite field setting. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_q$ a finite field with q elements and assume that the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is greater than 2. Namely q is a power of odd prime. As usual, $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ refers to the d -dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $g : \mathbb{F}_q^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex valued function on $\mathbb{F}_q^d$. We endow the space $\mathbb{F}_q^d$ with a counting measure dm . Thus, the integral of the function g over $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{F}_q^d} g(m) dm = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} g(m).$$

For a fixed non-trivial additive character $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a complex valued function g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$, we define the Fourier transform of g by the following formula

$$(1.2) \quad \widehat{g}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-m \cdot x) g(m) dm = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-m \cdot x) g(m),$$

where x is an element of the dual space of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$. Recall that the Fourier transform of the function g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ is actually defined on the dual space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. Here we endow the dual space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ with a normalized counting measure dx . We therefore see that if $f : (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then its integral over $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{F}_q^d} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{q^d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} f(x).$$

Note that the Fourier transform of the function f on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ is given by the formula

$$\widehat{f}(m) = \int_{\mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-x \cdot m) f(x) dx = \frac{1}{q^d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-x \cdot m) f(x),$$

where m is any element in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ with the counting measure dm . We also recall that the Fourier inversion theorem holds: for $x \in (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$

$$f(x) = \int_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(m \cdot x) \widehat{f}(m) dm = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(m \cdot x) \widehat{f}(m).$$

Using the orthogonality relation of the non-trivial additive character, we see that the Plancherel theorem holds:

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

In other words, the Plancherel theorem yields the following equation:

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{f}(m)|^2 = \frac{1}{q^d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |f(x)|^2.$$

Let f and h be the complex valued functions defined on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. Then we can easily check that

$$\widehat{(f * h)}(m) = \widehat{f}(m) \cdot \widehat{h}(m) \text{ and } \widehat{(f \cdot h)}(m) = (\widehat{f} * \widehat{h})(m).$$

REMARK 1.1. Throughout the paper we always consider the variable “ m ” as an element of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ with the counting measure “ dm ”. On the other hand, we always use the variable “ x ” as an element of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ with the normalized counting measure “ dx ”.

We now introduce algebraic varieties S in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ on which we shall work. Given $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, we define an algebraic variety S in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ by the set

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : Q(x) = 0\},$$

where $Q(x)$ denotes a nondegenerate quadratic polynomial. By a nonsingular linear substitution, any nondegenerate quadratic polynomial $Q(x)$ can be transformed into $a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_dx_d^2$ for some $a_j \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore we may express the set S as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + \dots + a_dx_d^2 = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx).$$

We endow the set S with a normalized surface measure $d\sigma$ which is given by the relation

$$\int_S f(x) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} f(x),$$

where $|S|$ denotes the number of elements in S . Note that the total mass of S is one and the measure σ is just a function on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ given by

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{q^d}{|S|} \chi_S(x),$$

here, and throughout the paper, we identify the set S with the characteristic function on the set S . For example, we write $E(x)$ for $\chi_E(x)$ where E is a subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$.

1.1. Definition of extension and averaging problems in the finite field setting. We introduce the definition of the extension problem related to the algebraic variety S in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. For $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, we denote by $R^*(p \rightarrow r)$ the smallest constant such that the following extension estimate holds:

$$\|(f d\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \leq R^*(p \rightarrow r) \|f\|_{L^p(S, d\sigma)}$$

for every function f defined on S in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. By duality, we see that the quantity $R^*(p \rightarrow r)$ is also the smallest constant such that the following restriction estimate holds: for every function g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$,

$$(1.5) \quad \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^{p'}(S, d\sigma)} \leq R^*(p \rightarrow r) \|g\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)},$$

here, throughout the paper, p' and r' denote the dual exponents of p and r respectively. In other words, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. The constant $R^*(p \rightarrow r)$ may depend on q , the size of the underlying finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. However the extension problem is to determine the exponents (p, r) such that $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ where the constant in the notation $\lesssim$ is independent of q . Here we recall that for positive numbers A and B , the notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of the parameter q such that $A \leq CB$. We also review that the notation $A \lesssim_\varepsilon B$ is used if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A \leq C_\varepsilon q^\varepsilon B$. We also use the notation $A \sim B$ which means that there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that $C_1 A \leq B \leq C_2 A$.

REMARK 1.2. A direct calculation yields the trivial estimate, $R^*(1 \rightarrow \infty) \lesssim 1$. Using Hölder's inequality and the nesting properties of L^p -norms, we also see that

$$R^*(p_1 \rightarrow r) \leq R^*(p_2 \rightarrow r) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p_2 \leq p_1 \leq \infty$$

and

$$R^*(p \rightarrow r_1) \leq R^*(p \rightarrow r_2) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r_2 \leq r_1 \leq \infty.$$

Therefore, if we want to obtain the optimal result, then for any fixed exponent $1 \leq p(\text{or } r) \leq \infty$, it is enough to find the smallest exponent $1 \leq r(\text{or } p) \leq \infty$ such that $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$. By interpolating the result $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ with the trivial bound $R^*(1 \rightarrow \infty) \lesssim 1$, further results can be obtained.

We now introduce the averaging problems over the algebraic variety S in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. We denote by $A(p \rightarrow r)$ the best constant such that the following estimate holds: for every f defined on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, we have

$$\|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \leq A(p \rightarrow r) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)},$$

where $d\sigma$ is the normalized surface measure on S defined as before. Then the averaging problem asks us to determine the optimal exponents (p, r) such that $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$.

2. Statement of necessary conditions for $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ and $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$

2.1. Necessary conditions for the boundedness of extension operators. Mockenhaupt and Tao ([10]) introduced the necessary conditions for the boundedness of extension operators related to general algebraic varieties in d -dimensional vector spaces over finite fields. For example, if $|S| \sim q^{d-1}$ and $d\sigma$ is the surface measure on S , then the necessary conditions for $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ take the following:

$$(2.1) \quad r \geq \frac{2d}{d-1}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad r \geq \frac{dp}{(d-1)(p-1)}.$$

In the case when S contains a k -dimensional affine subspace H ($|H| = q^k$), the necessary condition (2.2) can be improved by the condition

$$(2.3) \quad r \geq \frac{p(d-k)}{(p-1)(d-1-k)}$$

For the proof of above necessary conditions, see pages 41–42 in [10]. Let S be the algebraic variety defined as in (1.4) and $d\sigma$ the normalized surface measure on S in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. It is not so hard to show $|S| \sim q^{d-1}$. Here, we improve the necessary condition (2.1) when the dimension $d \geq 2$ is even. In addition, we also improve the necessary condition (2.1) for specific surfaces S in odd dimensions $d \geq 3$. The details are given by the following theorem which shall be proved in Section 5.

THEOREM 2.1. *For $a_j \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, d$, let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_dx_d^2 = 0\}$. If $d \geq 2$ is even, then it follows that if $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ then we must have $r \geq \frac{2d-2}{d-2}$. On the other hand, suppose that there exists $l \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $-a_ia_j^{-1} = l^2$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $i \neq j$. If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ only if $r \geq \frac{2d-2}{d-2}$.*

2.2. Necessary conditions for the boundedness of averaging operators. The authors in [2] introduced the necessary conditions for the boundedness of the averaging operators related to polynomial surfaces in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. Using the same arguments we have the following necessary conditions for $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ associated with the surface S defined as in (1.4). For readers' convenience, we introduce the proof of the following theorem in Section 5.

THEOREM 2.2. *For $a_j \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, d$, let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_dx_d^2 = 0\}$. Then $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ only if $(1/p, 1/r)$ lie in the convex hull of the points*

$$(2.4) \quad (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1), \text{ and } \left(\frac{d}{d+1}, \frac{1}{d+1} \right).$$

Moreover, if $k > (d-1)/2$ and S contains a k -dimensional affine subspace H ($|H| = q^k$) of $\mathbb{F}_q^d$, then $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ only if $(1/p, 1/r)$ lie in the convex hull of the points $(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$,

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\frac{d^2 - (k+2)d + 2k + 1}{(d-1)(d-k)}, \frac{k}{(d-1)(d-k)} \right), \text{ and } \left(\frac{d(d-1-k)}{(d-1)(d-k)}, \frac{d-1-k}{(d-1)(d-k)} \right).$$

3. Statement of main results

3.1. Results of extension problems. For $a_j \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, d$, we consider an algebraic variety

$$(3.1) \quad S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : a_1 x_1^2 + \dots + a_d x_d^2 = 0\}.$$

Our first result below can be viewed as the generalization and extension to higher odd dimensions for conical extension theorems which Mochenhaupt and Tao ([10]) studied in three dimensions.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then we have*

$$(3.2) \quad R^* \left(2 \rightarrow \frac{2d+2}{d-1} \right) \lesssim 1.$$

Moreover, in the case when S contains a $(d-1)/2$ -dimensional subspace H , then (3.2) gives a sharp $L^2 - L^r$ estimate.

In odd dimensions, we can construct the surface S which contains a $(d-1)/2$ -dimensional subspace H of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. For instance, if we take $a_j = 1$ for j odd and $a_j = -1$ for j even, then the surface S contains a $(d-1)/2$ -dimensional subspace H ($|H| = q^{(d-1)/2}$) which is given by

$$H = \left\{ (t_1, t_1, t_2, t_2, \dots, t_{(d-1)/2}, t_{(d-1)/2}, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : t_k \in \mathbb{F}_q, k = 1, 2, \dots, (d-1)/2 \right\}.$$

In this specific case, if the dimension d is three, then the result (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 implies a complete solution to the extension problem related to the surface

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 : x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0\}.$$

This fact follows immediately from combining (2.3) and the second part of Theorem 2.1 with the statements in Remark 1.2. However, this type of the results was already studied by Mochenhaupt and Tao ([10]) who studied the extension theorem for the cone C in three dimensions where they defined the cone C as the set

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 : x_1^2 = x_2 x_3\}.$$

Note that by the nonsingular linear transform, the cone C can be transformed into the set S above and so the spaces C and S yield the same $L^p - L^r$ estimate. What we emphasize in our result is as follows. Aforementioned authors calculated the number of solutions to the following equation: for each $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q^3$,

$$\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \eta,$$

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are elements in the cone C of three dimensional vector spaces over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$. Consequently, they obtained $L^2 - L^4$ estimate which implies the complete answer to the conical extension problem in three dimension. Such a method can apply to conical extension problems in higher dimensions but in higher dimensions it does not work for an improvement of the $L^2 - L^4$ result (see [10]). On the other hand, if we take $a_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, (d-1)$ and $a_d = -1$, the set S in (3.1) takes the form

$$(3.3) \quad S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2 - x_d^2 = 0\},$$

which can be transformed by a nonsingular transform into the cone $C \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ given by

$$(3.4) \quad C = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 = x_{d-1} x_d\}.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 implies the partial results for conical extension theorems in higher odd dimensions.

We now introduce the condition on S defined as in (3.1) such that the result (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 implies a complete solution to the extension problem related to surface S in three dimension. We have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let S be the surface defined as in (3.1). Suppose that $d = 3$ and there exists $l \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $-a_i a_j^{-1} = l^2$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $i \neq j$. Then $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ if and only if the exponents $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$ satisfy the following two inequations: $r \geq 4$ and $r \geq 2p/(p-1)$.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $-a_1 a_2^{-1} = l^2$ for some $l \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Assume that $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$. Observe that the surface S always contains the line $H = \{(t, tl, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ which is a 1-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^3$. Therefore, using (2.3) and the second part of Theorem 2.1 we see that $r \geq 4$ and $r \geq 2p/(p-1)$. On the other hand, if we assume that $r \geq 4$ and $r \geq 2p/(p-1)$ for $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$, then $R^*(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ is obtained from the result (3.2) in Theorem 3.1 and the statements in Remark 1.2. Thus, the proof of Corollary 3.2 is complete. $\square$

As the generalization of conical extension theorems in even dimensions, we also have the following result.

THEOREM 3.3. *If $d \geq 2$ is even, then*

$$(3.5) \quad R^* \left(2 \rightarrow \frac{2d}{d-2} \right) \lesssim 1.$$

In addition, if we assume that the surface S contains $d/2$ -dimensional subspace in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, then above estimate (3.5) is a sharp $L^2 - L^r$ extension estimate.

When we compare Theorem 3.1 with Theorem 3.3 we see that extension theorems in even dimensional case seem to be worse than those in odd dimensional case. This is due to lack of decay of the Fourier transform of the surface measure on S in even dimensions. In general, this is the best possible $L^2 - L^r$ estimate in even dimensions. For example, if we take $a_j = 1$ for j odd and $a_j = -1$ for j even, then the surface S contains $d/2$ -dimensional subspace H of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ given by

$$H = \left\{ (t_1, t_1, t_2, t_2, \dots, t_{d/2}, t_{d/2}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : t_k \in \mathbb{F}_q, k = 1, 2, \dots, d/2 \right\}.$$

Thus the sharpness follows from (2.3) and the statement in Remark 1.2.

From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we have the following corollary which also says that conical extension theorems in odd dimensions are different from the conical extension theorems in even dimensions.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let C be the cone in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ defined as in (3.4). In addition, assume that $-1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is a square number. Namely, there exists $i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $i^2 = -1$. Then, the result $R^* \left(2 \rightarrow \frac{2d+2}{d-1} \right) \lesssim 1$ gives a sharp $L^2 - L^r$ extension estimate related to cones in odd dimensions. On the other hand, the result $R^* \left(2 \rightarrow \frac{2d}{d-2} \right) \lesssim 1$ is the best possible $L^2 - L^r$ extension estimate in even dimensions.*

PROOF. Recall that the set S in (3.3) can be transferred into the cone in (3.4) by a nonsingular linear transform. Therefore, using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, the statements in Corollary 3.4 follow immediately from the following observation. If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then S in (3.3) contains a $(d-1)/2$ -dimensional subspace H of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ given by

$$H = \left\{ (t_1, it_1, \dots, t_{(d-1)/2}, it_{(d-1)/2}, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : t_k \in \mathbb{F}_q, k = 1, 2, \dots, (d-1)/2 \right\},$$

and if $d \geq 2$ is even, then the $d/2$ -dimensional subspace

$$H = \left\{ (t_1, it_1, \dots, t_{(d-2)/2}, it_{(d-2)/2}, t_{d/2}, t_{d/2}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : t_k \in \mathbb{F}_q, k = 1, 2, \dots, d/2 \right\}$$

is contained in the surface S in (3.3). $\square$

As another application of Theorem 3.3, we have the following corollary which makes an important role for proving the optimal result except for endpoints for averaging problems over algebraic varieties with subspaces of large dimension. In other words, we shall see that the boundedness of the averaging operators can be obtained from the results of extension problems.

COROLLARY 3.5. *For any subset E of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ and $b_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, if $d \geq 2$ is even, then we have*

$$\sum_{m \in S} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \lesssim \min \left\{ q^{-(d+1)} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{d}}, q^{-d} |E| \right\},$$

where $S = \{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : b_1 m_1^2 + \dots + b_d m_d^2 = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$

PROOF. It is clear that $\sum_{m \in S} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \leq q^{-d} |E|$, because the Plancherel theorem in (1.3) shows that $\sum_{m \in S} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 = q^{-d} |E|$. It therefore remains to show that

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{m \in S} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \lesssim q^{-(d+1)} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{d}}.$$

Since the space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ is isomorphic to the dual space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ as an abstract group, we may identify the space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ with the dual space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. Thus, they possess same algebraic structures. However, we endowed them with different measures: the counting measure dm for $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ and the normalized counting measure for $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. For these reasons, the inequality (3.6) is essentially same as the following: for every subset E of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{x \in S} q^{-2d} |\widehat{E}(x)|^2 \lesssim q^{-(d+1)} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{d}},$$

where S is considered as a subset of the dual space $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ given by

$S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : b_1 x_1^2 + \dots + b_d x_d^2 = 0\}$ and $\widehat{E}(x)$ is defined as in (1.2). By duality (1.5), Theorem 3.3 implies that the following restriction estimate holds: for every function g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$,

$$\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(S, d\sigma)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}^2.$$

If we take $g(m) = E(m)$, then we see

$$\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} |\widehat{E}(x)|^2 \lesssim |E|^{\frac{d+2}{d}}$$

Since $|S| \sim q^{d-1}$, (3.7) follows and the proof of Corollary 3.5 is complete. □

3.2. Results of averaging problems.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let S be the algebraic variety in $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ defined as in (3.1). If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then we have*

$$(3.8) \quad A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1 \iff \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{r} \right) \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the convex hull of points $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(d/(d+1), 1/(d+1))$. On the other hand, if $d \geq 2$ is even, then we have

$$(3.9) \quad A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$$

when $(1/p, 1/r)$ lies in the convex hull of points $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 1)$,

$$P_1 = \left(\frac{d^2 - 2d + 2}{d(d-1)}, \frac{1}{(d-1)} \right) \text{ and } P_2 = \left(\frac{d-2}{d-1}, \frac{d-2}{d(d-1)} \right).$$

In particular, in the case when S contains a $d/2$ -dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, the result (3.9) gives the best possible result up to endpoints for averaging problems in even dimensions.

REMARK 3.7. The proof of Theorem 3.6 shall be given in Section 7. Moreover, from the proof for the statement (3.9) above, we shall see that $A(d/(d-1), d) \lesssim 1$ where the point $((d-1)/d, 1/d)$ is the midpoint between P_1 and P_2 , and that if $d = 2$, then the condition $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$ in (3.9) can be replaced by the condition $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$.

As we shall see, the result (3.8) is mainly due to our observation that the decay of Fourier transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$ in odd dimensions is quite good. Note that the result (3.9) is worse than the result (3.8). In general, the mapping property of averaging operators related to the surface S in odd dimensions is better than in even dimensions. This is partially due to the lack of the Fourier decay of the surface measure in even dimensions. One may ask why such difference happens between in odd dimensions and in even dimensions in the finite field setting. Even if the algebraic variety S in (3.1) is defined by using a same equation, the surface S has the distinguished properties depending on whether the dimension is even or not. For example, the surface S in even dimensions may contain a $d/2$ -dimensional subspace but this never happens in odd dimensions because the dimension of the subspace in S must be an integer number. In fact, the maximal possible dimension of a subspace contained in the surface S in odd dimensions is $(d-1)/2$. This can be proved by using the decay estimate of the surface measure $d\sigma$ (see Proposition 9 in [2]). In the case when the surface S contains a subspace H whose dimension is greater than $(d-1)/2$, it is not easy to obtain a sharp $L^p - L^r$ estimate for averaging operators, in part because the result can not be shown by simply using the Fourier decay of the surface measure $d\sigma$. We shall prove the result (3.9) using the explicit formula for the Fourier transform of $d\sigma$ along with Corollary 3.5. Notice that our result (3.9) is a partial evidence to show that the necessary conditions in (2.5) are in fact the sufficient conditions.

4. Estimate of the Fourier transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$

In this section we obtain the explicit formula for the Fourier transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$ on the surface S defined as in (3.1). We shall see that the Fourier transform is closely related to the classical Gauss sums. Moreover, it makes a key role to prove our main results for extension problems and averaging problems. It is useful to review classical Gauss sums in the finite field setting. In the remainder of this paper, we fix the additive character χ as a canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and η always denotes the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Recall that $\eta(t) = 1$ if t is a square number in $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $\eta(t) = -1$ if t is not a square number in $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$. We also recall that $\eta(0) = 0, \eta^2 \equiv 1, \eta(ab) = \eta(a)\eta(b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $\eta(t) = \eta(t^{-1})$ for $t \neq 0$. For each $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the Gauss sum $G_t(\eta, \chi)$ is defined by

$$G_t(\eta, \chi) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \eta(s) \chi(ts).$$

The absolute value of the Gauss sum is given by the relation

$$|G_t(\eta, \chi)| = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we have the following formula

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(ts^2) = \eta(t) G_1(\eta, \chi) \text{ for any } t \neq 0,$$

because η is the multiplicative character on $\mathbb{F}_q$ of order two. For the nice proofs for the properties related to the Gauss sums, see Chapter 5 in [9] and Chapter 11 in [6]. When we complete the

square and apply a change of variable, the formula (4.1) yields the following equation: for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(as^2 + bs) = G_1(\eta, \chi) \eta(a) \chi\left(\frac{b^2}{-4a}\right).$$

We shall name the skill used to obtain the formula (4.2) as the complete square method. Relating the inverse Fourier transform of $d\sigma$ with the Gauss sum, we shall obtain the explicit form of $(d\sigma)^\vee$, the inverse Fourier transform of the surface measure on S . We have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $d\sigma$ be the surface measure on S defined as in (3.1). If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then we have*

$$(d\sigma)^\vee(m) = \begin{cases} q^{d-1}|S|^{-1} & \text{if } m = (0, \dots, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq (0, \dots, 0), \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} = 0 \\ \frac{G_1^{d+1}}{q|S|} \eta(-a_1 \cdots a_d) \eta\left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d}\right) & \text{if } \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $d \geq 2$ is even, then we have

$$(d\sigma)^\vee(m) = \begin{cases} q^{d-1}|S|^{-1} + \frac{G_1^d}{|S|} (1 - q^{-1}) \eta(a_1 \cdots a_d) & \text{if } m = (0, \dots, 0) \\ \frac{G_1^d}{|S|} (1 - q^{-1}) \eta(a_1 \cdots a_d) & \text{if } m \neq (0, \dots, 0), \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} = 0 \\ -\frac{G_1^d}{q|S|} \eta(a_1 \cdots a_d) & \text{if } \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

here, and throughout this paper, we write G_1 for the Gauss sum $G_1(\eta, \xi)$ and η denotes the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

PROOF. Using the definition of the inverse Fourier transform and the orthogonality relations of the nontrivial additive character χ of $\mathbb{F}_q$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (d\sigma)^\vee(m) &= |S|^{-1} \sum_{x \in S} \chi(x \cdot m) \\ &= |S|^{-1} q^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(s(a_1 x_1^2 + \dots + a_d x_d^2)) \chi(x \cdot m) \\ &= q^{d-1} |S|^{-1} \delta_0(m) + |S|^{-1} q^{-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \sum_{s \neq 0} \chi(s(a_1 x_1^2 + \dots + a_d x_d^2)) \chi(x \cdot m) \\ &= q^{d-1} |S|^{-1} \delta_0(m) + |S|^{-1} q^{-1} \sum_{s \neq 0} \prod_{j=1}^d \sum_{x_j \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(s a_j x_j^2 + m_j x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Using the complete square method (4.2), compute the sums over $x_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and then we have

$$(d\sigma)^\vee(m) = q^{d-1} |S|^{-1} \delta_0(m) + G_1^d |S|^{-1} q^{-1} \eta(a_1 \cdots a_d) \sum_{s \neq 0} \eta^d(s) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{4s} \left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d}\right)\right).$$

Case I. Suppose that $d \geq 3$ is odd. Then $\eta^d \equiv \eta$, because η is the multiplicative character of order two. Therefore, if $\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} = 0$, then the proof is complete, because $\sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}} \eta(s) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} \neq 0$, then the statement follows from using a change of variable, $-\frac{1}{4s} \left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d}\right) \rightarrow s$, and the facts that $\eta(4) = 1, \eta(s) = \eta(s^{-1})$ for $s \neq 0$, and $G_1 = \sum_{s \neq 0} \eta(s) \chi(s)$.

Case II. Suppose that $d \geq 2$ is even. Then $\eta^d \equiv 1$. The proof is complete, because $\sum_{s \neq 0} \chi(as) = -1$ for all $a \neq 0$, and $\sum_{s \neq 0} \chi(as) = (q-1)$ if $a = 0$. $\square$

Since the Fourier decay of the surface measure is distinguished between in odd dimensions and in even dimensions, it is natural to see different results for boundedness of operators between odd dimensions and even dimensions. Lemma 4.1 yields the following corollary which tells us the Fourier decay.

COROLLARY 4.2. *If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then it follows that*

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\sigma)^\vee(0, \dots, 0) &= 1, \\ |(d\sigma)^\vee(m)| &\lesssim q^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \quad \text{if } m \neq (0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $d \geq 2$ is even, then we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\sigma)^\vee(0, \dots, 0) &= 1, \\ |(d\sigma)^\vee(m)| &\lesssim q^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} \quad \text{if } m \neq (0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Recall that the Fourier inverse transform of the surface measure $d\sigma$ is given by the relation

$$(d\sigma)^\vee(m) = \int_S \chi(x \cdot m) d\sigma = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S} \chi(x \cdot m)$$

where $m \in (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$. Therefore, it is clear that $(d\sigma)^\vee(0, \dots, 0) = 1$ for all $d \geq 2$. If we compare this with the values $(d\sigma)^\vee(0, \dots, 0)$ given by Lemma 4.1, then we see that $|S| \sim q^{d-1}$ for $d \geq 2$. Since the absolute of the Gauss sum G_1 is exactly $q^{1/2}$, the statements in Corollary 4.2 follows immediately from Lemma 4.1. $\square$

5. Proof of necessary conditions

5.1. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (Necessary conditions for the boundedness of extension operators).

PROOF. First, we show that the first part of Theorem 2.1 holds. Suppose that the dimension $d \geq 2$ is even. We consider a set

$$M = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} = 0 \right\}.$$

Note that $|M| \sim q^{d-1}$. We test (1.5) by taking the function g as a characteristic function on the set $M \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$. Since the measure dm is the counting measure, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \|M\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} = |M|^{\frac{1}{r'}} \sim q^{\frac{d-1}{r'}}.$$

We now aim to estimate the quantity $\|\widehat{M}\|_{L^{p'}(S, d\sigma)}$. For each $x \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{F}_q^d} M(m) \chi(-m \cdot x) dm = \sum_{m \in M} \chi(-m \cdot x) \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-m \cdot x) \delta_0 \left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_0(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha = 0$ and $\delta_0(\alpha) = 0$ otherwise. Using the orthogonality relation of the non-trivial additive character χ , we see that

$$\widehat{M}(x) = q^{-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(-m \cdot x) \chi \left(s \left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} \right) \right)$$

$$= q^{d-1}\delta_0(x) + q^{-1} \sum_{s \neq 0} \prod_{j=1}^d \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(sa_j^{-1}m_j^2 - x_j m_j).$$

Using the complete square method (4.2), we obtain

$$\widehat{M}(x) = q^{d-1}\delta_0(x) + q^{-1}G_1^d \eta(a_1^{-1} \cdots a_d^{-1}) \sum_{s \neq 0} \chi(-(4s)^{-1}(a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_dx_d^2)),$$

where we used the fact that $\eta^d \equiv 1$ for d even. Thus, if $x \in S \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$, then we have

$$|\widehat{M}(x)| = |q^{-1}G_1^d \eta(a_1^{-1} \cdots a_d^{-1})(q-1)| \sim q^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

This implies the following estimate holds:

$$(5.2) \quad q^{\frac{d}{2}} \lesssim \|\widehat{M}\|_{L^{p'}(S, d\sigma)}.$$

Comparing (5.1) with (5.2), we must have

$$q^{\frac{d}{2}} \lesssim q^{\frac{d-1}{r'}},$$

which completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 2.1.

We prove the second part of Theorem 2.1. Without loss of generality we may assume that $-a_{d-1}a_d^{-1} = l^2$ for some $l \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Then the set S is given by

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_{d-2}x_{d-2}^2 - a_d(lx_{d-1} + x_d)(lx_{d-1} - x_d) = 0\}.$$

Since the mapping property of extension operators related to S is invariant under the non-singular linear transform of the surface S , we may assume

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_{d-2}x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}x_d = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{s \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\} : s \text{ is a square number}\}$. Then it is clear that $|\mathbb{D}| = (q-1)/2 \sim q$. Now, define a set

$$\Omega = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d-1} \times \mathbb{D} : m_{d-1} = \frac{a_1^{-1}m_1^2 + \cdots + a_{d-2}^{-1}m_{d-2}^2}{4m_d} \right\}.$$

Observe that $|\Omega| = q^{d-2}|\mathbb{D}| \sim q^{d-1}$. We test (1.5) with the characteristic function on the set $\Omega \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$. We have

$$(5.3) \quad \|\Omega\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} = |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{r'}} \sim q^{\frac{d-1}{r'}}.$$

Let us estimate the quantity $\|\widehat{\Omega}\|_{L^{p'}(S, d\sigma)}$. For each $x \in S$ with $x_{d-1} \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Omega}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{F}_q^d} \Omega(m) \chi(-m \cdot x) dm = \sum_{m \in \Omega} \chi(-m \cdot x) \\ &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{d-2} \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{m_d \in \mathbb{D}} \chi(\mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_d}(m_1, \dots, m_{d-2}, m_d)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_{x_1, \dots, x_d}(m_1, \dots, m_{d-2}, m_d) = -m_1x_1 - \cdots - m_{d-2}x_{d-2} - \left(\frac{a_1^{-1}m_1^2 + \cdots + a_{d-2}^{-1}m_{d-2}^2}{4m_d} \right) \cdot x_{d-1} - m_dx_d$.

Therefore, the completing square method (4.2) yields that for each $x \in S$ with $x_{d-1} \neq 0$,

$$\widehat{\Omega}(x) = G_1^{d-2} \eta(a_1^{-1} \cdots a_{d-2}^{-1}) \eta^{d-2} \left(\frac{-x_{d-1}}{4} \right) \sum_{m_d \in \mathbb{D}} \eta^{d-2}(m_d^{-1}) \chi \left(m_d \left(\frac{a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_{d-2}x_{d-2}^2}{x_{d-1}} - x_d \right) \right).$$

Since $\eta^{d-2}(m_d^{-1}) = 1$ for $m_d \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\frac{a_1 x_1^2 + \dots + a_{d-2} x_{d-2}^2}{x_{d-1}} - x_d = 0$ for $x \in S$ with $x_{d-1} \neq 0$ we see that for $x \in S$ with $x_{d-1} \neq 0$,

$$|\widehat{\Omega}(x)| = |G_1^{d-2}| |\mathbb{D}| \sim q^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Using this estimate we have

$$(5.4) \quad q^{\frac{d}{2}} \sim \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x \in S: x_{d-1} \neq 0} q^{\frac{dp'}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim \|\widehat{\Omega}\|_{L^{p'}(S, d\sigma)}.$$

From (5.3) and (5.4), the proof of the second part of Theorem 2.1 is complete. $\square$

5.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2 (Necessary conditions for the boundedness of averaging operators).

PROOF. Let $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. Suppose that the following estimate holds: for every function f on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

We test (5.5) with $f = \delta_0$. It follows that

$$(5.6) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = q^{-\frac{d}{p}}.$$

Recall that $d\sigma(x) = q^d |S|^{-1} S(x)$. We have

$$f * d\sigma(x) = \delta_0 * d\sigma(x) = \frac{q^d}{|S|} \delta_0 * S(x) = \frac{1}{|S|} \delta_S(x),$$

where $\delta_S(x) = 1$ if $x \in S$, and $\delta_S(x) = 0$ if $x \notin S$. It therefore follows that

$$(5.7) \quad \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = q^{-\frac{d}{r}} |S|^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \sim q^{\frac{r(1-d)-1}{r}}.$$

From (5.6) and (5.7), the inequality (5.5) holds only if

$$\frac{d}{p} \leq \frac{1}{r} + d - 1.$$

By duality we also see that the inequality (5.5) holds only when

$$\frac{d}{r'} \leq \frac{1}{p'} + d - 1,$$

and the statement (2.4) in Theorem 2.2 follows.

We now prove the statement (2.5) in Theorem 2.2. Assume that $k > (d-1)/2$ and $H \subset S$ is a k -dimensional affine subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$. From the statement (2.4), it suffices to show that if $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$, then we must have

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{k-d+1}{d-k}.$$

We also test (5.5) with $f(x) = H(x)$, the characteristic function on the set H with $|H| = q^k$. We have

$$(5.9) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = \|H\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = q^{\frac{k-d}{p}}.$$

Since H is an affine subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, we may assume that for some $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ and a subspace Λ of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, we have

$$H = \Lambda + \alpha = \{x + \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x \in \Lambda\}.$$

Since $H \subset S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f * d\sigma(x) &= H * d\sigma(x) \geq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} H(y) H(x - y) \\
&= \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} (\Lambda + \alpha)(y) (\Lambda + \alpha)(x - y) \\
&= \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{y \in \Lambda} (\Lambda + \alpha)(x - y - \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

Since Λ is a subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, we therefore see that if $x \in \Lambda + 2\alpha$, then

$$f * d\sigma(x) \geq \frac{|\Lambda|}{|S|} = \frac{|H|}{|S|} \sim q^{k-d+1}.$$

From this estimation, we have

$$\|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \gtrsim \left(q^{-d} q^{r(k-d+1)} |\Lambda + 2\alpha| \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

Since $|\Lambda + 2\alpha| = |H| = q^k$, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \gtrsim q^{-\frac{d}{r} + k - d + 1 + \frac{k}{r}}.$$

From (5.9) and (5.10), our aim (5.8) holds and so the statement (2.5) in Theorem 2.2 follows. $\square$

6. Proof of results for extension problems (Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3)

Both Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 follow from Corollary 4.2 and the following lemma. In addition, we note that the sharpness of $L^2 - L^r$ estimates in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 can be justified by the necessary condition given in (2.3).

LEMMA 6.1 (Tomas-Stein Type argument in the finite field setting). *Let $d\sigma$ be the surface measure on the algebraic variety $S \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ defined as in (3.1). If $|(d\sigma)^\vee(m)| \lesssim q^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and for all $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus (0, \dots, 0)$, then we have*

$$R^* \left(2 \rightarrow \frac{2(\alpha + 2)}{\alpha} \right) \lesssim 1.$$

PROOF. By duality, it suffices to prove that the following restriction estimate holds: for every function g defined on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$, we have

$$\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(S, d\sigma)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}^2.$$

By the orthogonality principle and Hölder's inequality, we see

$$\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(S, d\sigma)}^2 \leq \|g * (d\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}.$$

It therefore suffices to show that for every function g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$,

$$\|g * (d\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}.$$

Define $K = (d\sigma)^\vee - \delta_0$. Since $(d\sigma)^\vee(0, \dots, 0) = 1$, we see that $K(m) = 0$ if $m = (0, \dots, 0)$, and $K(m) = (d\sigma)^\vee(m)$ if $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$. In addition, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|g * \delta_0\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} &= \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \\
&\leq \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the fact that dm is the counting measure and $\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha} \geq \frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}$. Thus, it is enough to show that for every g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$,

$$(6.1) \quad \|g * K\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^{\frac{2(\alpha+2)}{\alpha+4}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}.$$

We now claim that the following two estimates hold: for every function g on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$,

$$(6.2) \quad \|g * K\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \lesssim q \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}$$

and

$$(6.3) \quad \|g * K\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} \lesssim q^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}.$$

Note that the estimate (6.1) follows by interpolating (6.2) with (6.3). It therefore remains to show that both (6.2) and (6.3) hold. Using Plancherel, the inequality (6.2) follows from the following observation:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g * K\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)} &= \|\widehat{g}\widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \\ &\leq \|\widehat{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \\ &\lesssim q \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is due to the observation that for each $x \in (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$

$\widehat{K}(x) = d\sigma(x) - \widehat{\delta}_0(x) = q^d |S|^{-1} S(x) - 1 \lesssim q$. On the other hand, the estimate (6.3) follows from Young's inequality and the assumption for the Fourier decay away from the origin. Thus, the proof is complete. $\square$

7. Proof of the results for averaging problems(Theorem 3.6)

7.1. Proof of (3.8) in Theorem 3.6. From the necessary condition (2.4) in Theorem 2.2, it suffices to prove that if $(1/p, 1/r) \in \mathbb{T}$, then $A(p \rightarrow r) \lesssim 1$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the convex hull of points $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 1)$, and $(d/(d+1), 1/(d+1))$. Recall that both $d\sigma$ and $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ have total mass 1. It therefore follows from Young's inequality and Hölder's inequality that if $1 \leq r \leq p \leq \infty$, then

$$(7.1) \quad \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \leq \|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Therefore, if $1 \leq r \leq p \leq \infty$, then $A(p \rightarrow r) \leq 1$. Thus, we are interested in the case when $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$. In this case, combining (4.3) in Corollary 4.2 with the following lemma below, we see that $A(d/(d+1), 1/(d+1)) \lesssim 1$. Consequently, the statement (3.8) in the first part of Theorem 3.6 follows immediately from interpolating above results. Thus, it remains to prove the following corollary.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let $d\sigma$ be the surface measure on the algebraic variety $S \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ defined as in (3.1). If $|(d\sigma)^\vee(m)| \lesssim q^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus (0, \dots, 0)$ and for some $\alpha > 0$, then we have*

$$A\left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1} \rightarrow \alpha+2\right) \lesssim 1.$$

PROOF. The proof of Lemma 7.1 is exactly same as that of Theorem 3 in [2]. For readers' convenience, we introduce the proof. Consider a function K on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)$ defined as $K = (d\sigma)^\vee - \delta_0$. We want to prove that for every function f on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$,

$$\|f * d\sigma\|_{L^{\alpha+2}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Since $d\sigma = \widehat{K} + \widehat{\delta}_0 = \widehat{K} + 1$ and $\|f * 1\|_{L^{\alpha+2}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}$, it suffices to show that for every f on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$,

$$(7.2) \quad \|f * \widehat{K}\|_{L^{\alpha+2}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

The inequality (7.2) can be proved by interpolating the following two estimates:

$$(7.3) \quad \|f * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim q^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}$$

and

$$(7.4) \quad \|f * \widehat{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim q \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

The inequality (7.3) follows from Plancherel, the decay assumption of $d\sigma$, and the definition of K . On the other hand, the inequality (7.4) follows from Young's inequality and the observation that $\|\widehat{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim q$. Thus, the proof of Lemma 7.1 is complete. $\square$

7.2. Proof of (3.9) in Theorem 3.6. Before we prove the statement (3.9) in Theorem 3.6, we notice that (4.4) in Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 7.1 yield

$$A\left(\frac{d}{d-1} \rightarrow d\right) \lesssim 1.$$

Even if we interpolate this result with trivial results, in even dimensions $d \geq 4$ we can not obtain the result (3.9) in Theorem 3.6. In the case when the dimension $d \geq 4$ is even, it seems that the averaging problems are not easy. In fact, we need more efforts to estimate the L^2 -norm of $f * d\sigma$. To prove the statement (3.9) in Theorem 3.6 we begin by proving the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.2. *Let $d\sigma$ be the surface measure on $S \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$ defined as in (3.1). If the dimension $d \geq 2$ is even, then it follows that for every set $E \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$,*

$$(7.5) \quad \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \frac{|E|}{q^{d-1}}$$

and

$$(7.6) \quad \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \begin{cases} q^{-d+\frac{1}{2}} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{2d}} & \text{if } 1 \leq |E| \leq q^{\frac{d}{2}} \\ q^{-d+1} |E|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } q^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq |E| \leq q^d, \end{cases}$$

where $K = (d\sigma)^\vee - \delta_0$.

PROOF. The estimate (7.5) follows immediately from the inequality (7.4), because $\|E\|_{L^1(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = q^{-d}|E|$. Let us prove the estimate (7.6). Using Plancherel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}^2 &= \|\widehat{E}K\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dm)}^2 \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 |K(m)|^2 = \sum_{m \neq (0, \dots, 0)} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 |(d\sigma)^\vee(m)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the definition of K and the fact that $(d\sigma)^\vee(0, \dots, 0) = 1$. Since $|S| \sim q^{d-1}$, $|\eta| \equiv 1$, and the absolute value of the Gauss sum G_1 is $q^{1/2}$, using the explicit formula for $(d\sigma)^\vee$ in the second part of Lemma 4.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}^2 \\ &\sim \frac{1}{q^{d-2}} \sum_{\substack{m \neq (0, \dots, 0): \\ \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} = 0}} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 + \frac{1}{q^d} \sum_{\substack{m \neq (0, \dots, 0): \\ \frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{m_d^2}{a_d} \neq 0}} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 = \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Plancherel theorem given in (1.3), it is not difficult to see that

$$\text{II} \leq \frac{1}{q^d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 = q^{-2d} |E|.$$

On the other hand, using Corollary 3.5, the upper bound of I is given by

$$I \lesssim \min \left\{ q^{-2d+1} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{d}}, q^{-2d+2} |E| \right\}.$$

Putting together above estimates yields

$$\|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}^2 \lesssim \min \left\{ q^{-2d+1} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{d}}, q^{-2d+2} |E| \right\} + q^{-2d} |E|.$$

By a direct calculation, this estimate implies that (7.6) in Lemma 7.2 holds. Therefore, the proof of Lemma 7.2 is complete. $\square$

We also need the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.3. *Suppose that for every subset E of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, it satisfies that*

$$(7.7) \quad \|E * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|E\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Then for every function f defined on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, we have

$$\|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \log q \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f \geq 0$ and $\|f\|_\infty = 1$. For each nonnegative integer k , define $E_k = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : 2^{-k-1} < f(x) \leq 2^{-k}\}$. Then the function f can be decomposed as $f = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k$ where $f_k = f \cdot E_k$. From the definition of f_k , we see that for every nonnegative integer k ,

$$(7.8) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \geq \|f_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \geq 2^{-k-1} \|E_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}$$

Since $\|f\|_\infty = 1$, we also have

$$(7.9) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \geq q^{-\frac{d}{p}} \geq 2^{-(N+1)},$$

where N is the nonnegative integer satisfying

$$\frac{d \log q}{p \log 2} - 1 \leq N < \frac{d \log q}{p \log 2}.$$

We now estimate the quantity $\|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N f_k * d\sigma \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} + \left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^\infty f_k * d\sigma \right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \\ &\leq N \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} \|f_k * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} + 2^{-(N+1)} \|1 * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of f_k and the observation that $\|1 * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = 1$, we see that above expression is dominated by the quantity

$$N \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} 2^{-k} \|E_k * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} + 2^{-(N+1)}.$$

From the hypothesis (7.7) and the inequalities (7.8), (7.9), we therefore obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * d\sigma\|_{L^r(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} &\lesssim N \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} 2^{-k} \|E_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} + 2^{-(N+1)} \\ &\leq (2N+1) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $2N+1 \sim \log q$, we complete the proof of Lemma 7.3. $\square$

We are ready to prove the statement (3.9) in Theorem 3.6. From (7.1), recall that if $1 \leq r \leq p \leq \infty$, then $A(p \rightarrow r) \leq 1$. Thus, if $d = 2$, then there is nothing to prove. We therefore assume that the dimension $d \geq 4$ is even. By duality and interpolation, it suffices to show that for every function f on $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$,

$$\|f * d\sigma\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d^2-2d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Since we allow the logarithmic growth of q in this inequality, using Lemma 7.3 it is enough to prove that for every subset E of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$,

$$\|E * d\sigma\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|E\|_{L^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d^2-2d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Since dx is the normalized counting measure, it is clear that $\|1\|_{L^a(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = 1$ for all $1 \leq a \leq \infty$. Using Young's inequality for convolutions together with this fact, we see that

$$\|E * 1\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \leq \|E\|_{L^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d^2-2d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Since $d\sigma = \widehat{K} + \widehat{\delta}_0 = \widehat{K} + 1$, it therefore suffices to show that for every $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$,

$$(7.10) \quad \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim \|E\|_{L^{\frac{d(d-1)}{d^2-2d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

Case 1. Assume that $1 \leq |E| \leq q^{\frac{d}{2}}$. In this case, the estimate (7.10) follows by interpolating the following two estimates.

$$(7.11) \quad \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim q \|E\|_{L^1(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}$$

and

$$(7.12) \quad \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim q^{-\frac{d+3}{2}} \|E\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}.$$

As before, the inequality (7.11) can be obtained by Young's inequality and the observation that $|\widehat{K}| \lesssim q$. For the inequality (7.12), we recall from (7.6) in Lemma 7.2 that if $1 \leq |E| \leq q^{\frac{d}{2}}$, then

$$\|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim q^{-d+\frac{1}{2}} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{2d}}.$$

Since $\|E\|_{L^{\frac{2d}{d+2}}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} = (q^{-d}|E|)^{\frac{d+2}{2d}}$, a direct calculation shows that if $1 \leq |E| \leq q^{\frac{d}{2}}$, then the right-hand side in (7.12) is same as $q^{-d+\frac{1}{2}} |E|^{\frac{d+2}{2d}}$. Thus, the inequality (7.12) holds.

Case 2. Assume that $q^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq |E| \leq q^d$. In this case, we want to show that the estimate (7.10) holds. In other words, we must show that for every $q^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq |E| \leq q^d$,

$$(7.13) \quad \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim (q^{-d}|E|)^{\frac{d^2-2d+2}{d(d-1)}}.$$

It follows that if $d \geq 4$ is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} &= \|(E * \widehat{K})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}^{\frac{2}{d-1}} \\ &\leq \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}^{\frac{d-3}{d-1}} \|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)}^{\frac{2}{d-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq |E| \leq q^d$, using Lemma 7.2 we obtain

$$\|E * \widehat{K}\|_{L^{d-1}(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)} \lesssim (q^{-d+1}|E|)^{\frac{d-3}{d-1}} (q^{-d+1}|E|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{d-1}} = q^{-d+1}|E|^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}}.$$

Thus, the inequality (7.13) follows from an observation that if $q^{\frac{d}{2}} \leq |E| \leq q^d$, then

$$q^{-d+1}|E|^{\frac{d-2}{d-1}} \leq (q^{-d}|E|)^{\frac{d^2-2d+2}{d(d-1)}}.$$

By **Case 1** and **Case 2**, the inequality (7.10) holds and we complete the proof of the statement (3.9) in Theorem 3.6. Finally, when S contains a $d/2$ -dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{F}_q^d, dx)$, the sharpness of the result (3.9) in Theorem 3.6 follows from the necessary condition (2.5) in Theorem 2.2.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, *On the restriction and multiplier problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Lecture notes in Mathematics, no. 1469, Springer Verlag, 1991. 2
- [2] A. Carbery, B. Stones, and J. Wright, *Averages in vector spaces over finite fields*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (2008), 144, 13, 13–27. 2, 5, 9, 15
- [3] L. De Carli and A. Iosevich, *Some sharp restriction theorems for homogeneous manifolds*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 4 (1998), no.1, 105–128. 2
- [4] A. Iosevich and D. Koh, *Extension theorems for paraboloids in the finite field setting*, Math.Z., (to appear), (2009). 2
- [5] A. Iosevich and D. Koh, *Extension theorems for spheres in the finite field setting*, Forum Math., (to appear), (arXiv:0712.1627), (2009). 2
- [6] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Colloquium Publications, 53 (2004). 9
- [7] A. Iosevich and E. Sawyer, *Sharp $L^p - L^q$ estimates for a class of averaging operators*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 46, 5 (1996), 1359–1384. 2
- [8] W. Littman, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates for singular integral operators*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 23 (1973), 479–481. 2
- [9] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Cambridge University Press, (1997). 9
- [10] G. Mockenhaupt, and T. Tao, *Restriction and Kakeya phenomena for finite fields*, Duke Math. J. 121(2004), no. 1, 35–74. 1, 2, 5, 6
- [11] R. Strichartz, *Convolutions with kernels having singularities on the sphere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 148 (1970), 461–471. 2
- [12] E. M. Stein, *L^p boundedness of certain convolution operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 77 (1971), 404–405. 2
- [13] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press (1993). 2
- [14] T. Tao, *Recent progress on the restriction conjecture*, Fourier analysis and convexity, 217–243, Appl. Number. Harmon. Anal., Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA 2004. 2